



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive**

---

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

---

1958

Flux distribution in a unit cell of a uranium graphite subcritical assembly.

Hayes, James Thomas.

Iowa State College

---



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>



NPS ARCHIVE  
1958  
HAYES, J.

FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN A UNIT CELL  
OF A URANIUM GRAPHITE  
SUBCRITICAL ASSEMBLY

---

JAMES THOMAS HAYES



DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY  
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

















FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN A UNIT CELL OF A URANIUM GRAPHITE  
SUBCRITICAL ASSEMBLY

by

James Thomas Hayes

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Graduate Faculty in partial fulfillment of  
The requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE

Major Subject: Nuclear Engineering





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	3
III. THEORETICAL FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN A SUBCRITICAL ASSEMBLY	5b
IV. THEORETICAL FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN THE UNIT CELL	8
A. Two Region System	8
B. Multiregion System	10
V. EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT	14
A. Subcritical Assembly	14
B. Unit Cell	20
C. Counting Equipment	24
VI. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	25
A. Determination of $\gamma$	25
B. Correction Factors for Unit Cell Foil Positions	28
C. Description of Runs in Unit Cell	35
D. Horizontal Surveys	39
VII. RESULTS	40
A. Effect of Foil Spacing	40
B. Effect of Foil Orientation	58
C. Effect of Foil Size	58
D. Variation of Flux Along Different Radials	63
E. Effect of Coolant	77
F. Cadmium Ratio	90
G. Comparison of Flux in Unit Cell with Overall Flux in the Assembly	90





TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
VIII. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	94
A. Techniques for Measuring Flux Distribution in a Unit Cell	94
B. The Flux Distribution in a Unit Cell	96
C. Comparison of Experimental Results with Theory	101
IX. CONCLUSIONS	105
X. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	106
XI. LITERATURE CITED	108
XII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	110
XIII. APPENDIX	111



## I. INTRODUCTION

In homogeneous reactor theory the thermal neutron flux distribution in a given direction in the reactor core is represented as a smooth curve having a shape which is dependent upon the core geometry. In a bare rectangular parallelepiped reactor the flux distribution along each coordinate axis is a cosine curve across the core. In a heterogeneous reactor consisting of rods of fuel regularly arranged in a moderating medium, the general shape of the flux distribution across the core is similar to the cosine distribution of the homogeneous reactor. However, the absorption of neutrons is much higher in the uranium fuel rods than it is in the moderating material, and hence there are local depressions in the neutron flux near the uranium fuel rods.

The theory of the natural uranium heterogeneous reactor has been broken down into microscopic theory and macroscopic theory. Macroscopic theory deals with the overall flux distribution in the reactor and permits the determination of such parameters as critical size and critical mass for a given reactor design. Microscopic theory deals with the local flux distribution in the unit cell of the reactor core, and it permits the calculation of the various lattice





constants, such as thermal utilization, resonance escape probability, lattice diffusion length and material buckling. The subcritical assembly can be used to determine experimentally these lattice constants for a proposed reactor design. Since reactor theory is subject to many limitations and approximations, the subcritical assembly is a valuable tool which can be used to either check or supplement theoretical calculations.

The purpose of this thesis was to investigate the flux distribution in the unit cell of the Iowa State College uranium graphite subcritical assembly. Several techniques for flux measurement using the foil activation method were also investigated. The flux distribution was measured in three different directions inside the unit cell both with and without coolant, and the experimental results were compared with the theoretical flux distribution in the unit cell.



## II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The use of the activation method for measuring thermal neutron flux was covered in detail by Feld (4). Cohen (3) described further use of the activation method and how it could be used to determine the microscopic flux distribution within a unit cell. He also pointed out the particular value of the foil activation method in determining flux distribution near the boundary of two dissimilar mediums where diffusion theory cannot be applied with accuracy. Hummel and Hamernesh (9) investigated the neutron flux depression in the neighborhood of a silver foil but no quantitative results were given for indium foil. Clayton (2) and Richey (11) discussed in detail the foil placement in the unit cell of a uranium graphite lattice and the procedures and corrections used in counting.

Murray (10) developed the flux distribution for a two-region fuel-moderator lattice system based on diffusion theory for monoenergetic neutrons. He further presented a method of estimating the effect of extra absorption due to the presence of other components in the unit cell, such as cladding, tubing, coolant and insulation. In this method it was assumed that all the other components act as poisons which can be tolerated, and hence they do not appreciably disturb



the basic flux distribution in a cell containing only fuel and moderator. Murray's simplified method could be used to determine the thermal utilization in a unit cell but could not be used to determine the point to point flux distribution in the various cell components.

A development of the theoretical flux distribution in the unit cell of a uranium-graphite lattice with air coolant was presented by Duggenheim and Kiyce (7). Their theory provided for the determination of the flux distribution in the various cell components which included an aluminum clad uranium slug, an air annulus and a graphite moderator.

Gumsey and Volkoff (12), in East (5), extended the theory to include the moderating effect of a coolant annulus filled with water. Rogerson (8) calculated the physical constants for the subcritical assembly which is the subject of this thesis and determined the effect of coolant and lattice size upon the material buckling.





## List of Symbols

Symbol	Units	Meaning
A		Competitive absorption (see p. 11)
$A_{\infty}$	c/m	Saturation activity
$A_{11}$	c/m	Corrected saturation activity due to first mode only
$A_0$	c/m	Corrected saturation activity referred to the center of the unit cell
a	in, cm	Extrapolated width along x-axis
$B_{1j}$		Blocking term (see p. 12)
$k_m^2$	$\text{in}^{-2}, \text{cm}^{-2}$	Material buckling
b	in, cm	Extrapolated width along y-axis
$C_e$		End correction term
$C_h$		Harmonic correction term
c	in, cm	Extrapolated height along z-axis
D	in, cm	Diffusion coefficient
F		Disadvantage factor of uranium, $\frac{\phi(r_u)}{\bar{\phi}_u}$
F'		Overall correction factor (see p. 33)
f		Thermal utilization
$f_e$		End correction factor
$f_h$		Harmonic correction factor
$f_x$		Horizontal position correction factor (see p. 33)
$f_z$		Vertical position correction factor (see p. 33)
K	neutrons/sec $\text{cm}^2$	Constant which relates flux level to neutron source strength (see p. 7)
$K_1$		Constant of proportionality
q	neutrons/sec $\text{cm}^3$	Neutron source term, $\frac{K_1 S}{abD}$
q	neutrons/sec $\text{cm}^3$	Slowing down density
$R_1$		Relative absorption term (see p. 11)
r	in, cm	Radial distance
S	neutrons/sec	Source strength
$S_i$		Excess absorption term (see p. 12)
$S_u$	neutrons/sec $\text{cm}^3$	Source term for uranium
$S_m$	neutrons/sec $\text{cm}^3$	Source term for moderator



Symbol	Units	Meaning
$t$	in, cm	Thickness
$V$	in <sup>3</sup> , cm <sup>3</sup>	Volume
$X$		Disadvantage factor of moderator, $\frac{\bar{\nu}_m}{\bar{\nu}_u}$
$\gamma$	in <sup>-1</sup> , cm <sup>-1</sup>	Inverse relaxation length
$\delta$		Water moderation correction term (see p. 13)
$\phi$	neutrons/sec cm <sup>2</sup>	Thermal neutron flux
$\kappa$	in <sup>-1</sup> , cm <sup>-1</sup>	Inverse diffusion length
$\Sigma$	in <sup>-1</sup> , cm <sup>-1</sup>	Macroscopic absorption cross section

## List of subscripts

Subscript	Meaning
al	aluminum cladding
i	ith medium
j	jth medium
g	graphite
m	moderator
p	process tube
u	uranium
w	water



### III. THEORETICAL FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN A SUBCRITICAL ASSEMBLY

The thermal neutron flux in a fairly large subcritical assembly in the central region away from the boundaries and extraneous neutron sources can be represented by (6, p. 281)

$$\nabla^2 \phi + B_m^2 \phi = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where  $\phi$  is the thermal neutron flux,  $\nabla^2$  is the Laplacian operator and  $B_m^2$  is the material buckling of the particular lattice system, usually expressed in  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ . With the usual boundary conditions that the flux is everywhere finite and non-negative and is zero at the extrapolated boundaries the solution to the above equation is

$$\phi = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{mn}}{(1 - e^{-2\gamma_{mn}(c-z)})} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b} e^{-\gamma_{mn}z} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are the extrapolated dimensions of the subcritical assembly in  $\text{cm}$  and  $\gamma_{mn}$  in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is defined by

$$\gamma_{mn}^2 = \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n\pi}{b}\right)^2 - B_m^2 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$





The flux may therefore be represented as

$$\phi = Q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{mn}} \cos \frac{m\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{n\pi y}{b} e^{-\gamma_{mn} z} C_e \quad \text{Eq. 4}$$

where

$$C_e = 1 - e^{-2\gamma_{11}(c-z)}. \quad \text{Eq. 5}$$

If the expansion is limited to the first and third modes,

Equation 4 may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = Q \frac{e^{-\gamma_{11} z}}{\gamma_{11}} \cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{\pi y}{b} & \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma_{11} e^{-\gamma_{11} z}}{\cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{\pi y}{b}} \right. \\ & \left( \frac{e^{-\gamma_{13} z}}{\gamma_{13}} \cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{3\pi y}{b} + \frac{e^{-\gamma_{31} z}}{\gamma_{31}} \cos \frac{3\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{\pi y}{b} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{e^{-\gamma_{33} z}}{\gamma_{33}} \cos \frac{3\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{3\pi y}{b} \right) \right]. \quad \text{Eq. 6} \end{aligned}$$

The harmonic correction term,  $C_h$ , is enclosed in the brackets.

It may be rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned} C_h = 1 + \frac{\gamma_{11}}{\gamma_{13}} e^{-(\gamma_{11}-\gamma_{13})z} & \left( \frac{\cos \frac{3\pi y}{b}}{\cos \frac{\pi y}{b}} + \frac{\cos \frac{3\pi x}{a}}{\cos \frac{\pi x}{a}} \right) \\ + \frac{\gamma_{11}}{\gamma_{33}} e^{-(\gamma_{11}-\gamma_{33})z} & \left( \frac{\cos \frac{3\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{3\pi y}{b}}{\cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{\pi y}{b}} \right) \quad \text{Eq. 7} \end{aligned}$$



since  $\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{31}$  for a square based assembly. The flux may now be written as

$$\phi = K e^{-\gamma_{11}z} \cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \cos \frac{\pi y}{b} C_n C_e \quad \text{Eq. 8}$$

where  $K = \frac{1}{\gamma_{11}}$ .

From Eq. 3  $\gamma_{mn}$  is seen to increase rapidly in value for harmonics greater than one since  $b_m^2$  is constant for a given lattice system. Since the quantity  $c - z$  is also large for the central region of the assembly, the end correction term,  $C_e$ , can be closely approximated by the expression

$$C_e = 1 - e^{-2\gamma_{11}(c-z)}$$



## IV. THEORETICAL FLUX DISTRIBUTION IN THE UNIT CELL

## A. Two Region System

A first approximation for the thermal neutron flux in the unit cell can be made by use of one group diffusion theory in a two-region fuel-moderator system (10). To simplify the mathematics the square cell is replaced by a cylindrical cell of equal area. The diffusion equation for the fuel is

$$D_u \nabla^2 \phi_u - \phi_u \sum_u + S_u = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 9}$$

and for the moderator is

$$D_m \nabla^2 \phi_m - \phi_m \sum_m + S_m = 0 \quad \text{Eq. 10}$$

where  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient in cm,  $\phi$  is the thermal neutron flux in neutrons/cm<sup>2</sup> sec,  $\sum$  is the macroscopic absorption cross section in cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $S$  is the thermal neutron production rate per cm<sup>3</sup>. With the assumptions that  $S_u = 0$ ,  $S_m$  is constant,  $\phi$  does not vary along the cell axis, and that  $\phi$  is constant at any given cell radius, the solutions to Eqs. (9) (10) are

$$\phi_u(r) = A I_0(\kappa_u r) \quad \text{Eq. 11}$$



and

$$\phi_m(r) = C M_0(\chi_m r) + \frac{S_m}{\sum_m} \quad \text{Eq. 12}$$

respectively, where

$$\frac{A}{S_m} = \frac{D_m \chi_m M_1(\chi_m r_0)}{\Delta}, \quad \text{Eq. 13}$$

$$\frac{C}{S_m} = \frac{-D_0 \chi_0 I_1(\chi_0 r_0)}{\Delta}, \quad \text{Eq. 14}$$

$$\Delta = \sum_m \left[ D_m \chi_m I_0(\chi_0 r_0) M_1(\chi_m r_0) + D_0 \chi_0 I_1(\chi_0 r_0) M_0(\chi_m r_0) \right], \quad \text{Eq. 15}$$

$$M_0(\chi_m r) = K_0(\chi_m r) + \frac{K_1(\chi_m r_2)}{I_1(\chi_m r_2)} I_0(\chi_m r), \quad \text{Eq. 16}$$

and

$$M_1(\chi_m r) = K_1(\chi_m r) - \frac{K_1(\chi_m r_2)}{I_1(\chi_m r_2)} I_1(\chi_m r). \quad \text{Eq. 17}$$

In the above equations  $\chi$  is the inverse diffusion length for the given medium and  $I_0$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are modified Bessel functions of the zero and first order. The physical constants for the subcritical assembly were previously determined (8) and are listed in Table 8 along with the various dimensions of the unit cell. With these constants and a table of Bessel functions (1) the flux in the fuel and in the moderator was determined from Eqs. 11 and 12 assuming





$S_m = 1 \frac{\text{neutron}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{ sec}}$ . The theoretical flux distribution normalized to the flux at the cell boundary is shown in Figure 31.

### B. Multiregion System

The theoretical flux distribution in a multiregion unit cell consisting of fuel, cladding, water coolant, aluminum process tube and graphite moderator is based on the thermal utilization equation derived by Rumsey and Volkoff (5, 12),

$$f_{th} = f_0 (1 + \delta) \quad \text{Eq. 18}$$

$$\text{where } \frac{1}{f_0} - 1 = k_{cl} + k_w + k_p + k_g + S_w + S_g + k_{wp} + k_{wg} \quad \text{Eq. 19}$$

The subscripts cl, w, p, g and u denote aluminum cladding, water, process tube, graphite and uranium respectively. An abbreviated explanation of Eqs. 18 and 19 follows.

Thermal utilization is the ratio of the number of thermal neutrons captured in uranium to the total number of thermal neutrons captured in the lattice. For a two region fuel-moderator system it may be written as

$$f = \frac{\sum_u V_u \bar{\phi}_u}{\sum_u V_u \bar{\phi}_u + \sum_m V_m \bar{\phi}_m} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sum_m V_m}{\sum_u V_u} X} \quad \text{Eq. 20}$$



where  $X$  is the disadvantage factor for the moderator

$$X = \frac{V_m}{V_u} \frac{\chi_m r_u}{2} \frac{M_o (\chi_m r_u)}{M_1 (\chi_m r_u)} - 1 \quad \text{Eq. 21}$$

Thermal utilization may also be expressed as

$$f = \frac{1}{1 + A} \quad \text{Eq. 22}$$

Alternately, the competitive absorption,

$$\frac{1}{f} - 1 = A, \quad \text{Eq. 23}$$

is the ratio of the number of thermal neutrons captured by the moderator to the number captured by the uranium. The addition of other regions to the lattice can be accommodated by expressing the competitive absorption as

$$\frac{1}{f} - 1 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots \quad \text{Eq. 24}$$

where the various  $A$  terms now denote the competitive absorption for a given region. The competitive absorption terms for a given region may be further broken down as

$$A_1 = R_1 + S_1 + B_{1j} \quad \text{Eq. 25}$$

$R_1$  is the "relative absorption" term and denotes the number of thermal neutrons captured in the  $i$ th medium per thermal neutron captured in the uranium if the thermal neutron density in the  $i$ th medium were uniformly equal to the thermal neutron density at the uranium-aluminum interface.



$$R_i = \frac{\sum_i V_i}{\sum_u V_u} F \quad \text{Eq. 26}$$

where  $V$  denotes volume and  $F$  is the disadvantage factor of the uranium expressed as

$$F = \frac{\rho_u (\tau_u)}{\bar{\rho}_u} \quad \text{Eq. 27}$$

$S_i$  is the "excess absorption term" and denotes the excess number of neutrons captured in the  $i$ th medium per thermal neutron absorbed in the uranium due to the excess neutron density in the  $i$ th medium over the neutron density at the  $i$ - $j$ th interface. For the water

$$S_w = \frac{1}{2} \chi_w^2 t_w^2 \quad \text{Eq. 28}$$

and for the graphite

$$S_g = X \left[ 1 + R_{al} + R_p + R_w + B_{wp} + S_w \right] . \quad \text{Eq. 29}$$

$B_{ij}$  is the "blocking term" and denotes the excess number of thermal neutrons absorbed in the  $j$ th medium per thermal neutron absorbed in the uranium due to the neutron density rise across the  $i$ th medium.

$$B_{wp} = \chi_w^2 t_w^2 \frac{\sum_p V_p}{\sum_w V_w} \quad \text{Eq. 30}$$





and

$$B_{wg} = \chi_w^2 t_w^2 \left\{ \frac{\sum_g V_g}{\sum_w V_w} + F_g \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sum_{a1} V_{a1}}{\sum_w V_w} \right] \right\} \quad \text{Eq. 31}$$

where  $t_w$  is the thickness of the water annulus. All competitive absorption terms except those remaining in Eq. 19 are negligible.

The term  $\delta$  in Eq. 18 accounts for the moderating effect of the water and is expressed as

$$\delta = \frac{\frac{q_w V_w}{q_g V_g} \left[ \chi + \frac{1}{2} \chi_w^2 t_w^2 \frac{\sum_g V_g}{\sum_w V_w} \right]}{1 + \frac{q_w V_w}{q_g V_g}} \quad \text{Eq. 32}$$

where  $q$  is the production rate of thermal neutrons per unit volume per second. The ratio of  $q_w/q_g$  is equal to 20 (11, p. 22).



## V. EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT

### A. Subcritical Assembly

#### 1. General description


The subcritical assembly used for the experimental investigations is shown in Figure 1. It consisted of fourteen layers of graphite, each containing ten blocks 60 in. long. In the first nine layers the block cross section was 6 in. by 6 in., while in the top five layers the block cross section was 5 in. by 6 in. The top blocks were laid with the 6-in. side horizontal giving the entire assembly the dimensions of 60 in. by 60 in. by 79 in. high. The graphite blocks were cut from 7-in. diameter cylindrical rods so that the rounded corners provided holes in the assembly for the insertion of fuel elements or measuring apparatus.

The assembly was covered on the top and sides by covers made up of a sandwich of masonite, plywood, and a 0.010 in. thick sheet of cadmium. The purpose of the cadmium was to provide a "black boundary" to the neutrons. The assembly was mounted on a base which provided a space underneath about one foot high for the insertion of three water tanks. Two tanks extending the length of the assembly were filled and



Figure 1. The electrical assembly

Figure 1. The subcritical assembly







placed on each side of the source. The center tank consisted of three compartments. The two end compartments, each about 26 in. long, were filled with water. The center compartment was left dry, and in it was placed a small table on which the sources were mounted.

## 2. Sources

The assembly source consisted of five individual plutonium-beryllium neutron sources, each emitting approximately  $1.63 \times 10^6$  neutrons per second. Each source was contained in a stainless steel and tantalum container which was one inch in diameter and  $1 \frac{3}{8}$  in. high. When placed on the small source table which was located underneath the center of the assembly the tops of the source containers were about  $1/16$  in. beneath the floor of the assembly. The five sources were arranged in a cruciform shape oriented on the x and y axes of the coordinate system used as shown in Figure 2.

## 3. Fuel elements

The assembly was loaded with fuel elements as shown in Figure 1 by filling every other hole giving an 8.48-in. square lattice in the lower region of the assembly. The fuel assembly consisted of canned natural uranium slugs wrapped with 28 aluminum wire spacers and inserted in 618 aluminum process tubes. The uranium fuel itself consisted



NOTE: ALL  
DIMENSIONS IN  
INCHES




Figure 2. Subcritical assembly



of rods 1 in. in diameter and 8 in. long. The 23 aluminum cans had a 0.040-in. wall thickness and the end caps were 0.200 in. thick. Thus the overall dimensions of the fuel slugs were 8.40 in. long by 1.080 in. in diameter. Seven slugs were inserted in each process tube. The aluminum process tubes were 62 in. long, had an outside diameter of 1.375 in. and a wall thickness of 0.035 in. The effective thickness of the coolant annulus between the slug and process tube was 0.112 in. The aluminum wire spacer was 0.102 in. in diameter, and approximately ten feet of wire was used in each fuel assembly. The ends of the process tubes were plugged with number seven rubber stoppers when making runs with coolant.

#### 4. Indium foil positions

Slots for inserting indium foils were located as shown in Figure 2, and were used in making ventical and horizontal flux surveys of the overall assembly. A grid system was used in identifying blocks and/or foil positions in the assembly. Layers were numbered from bottom to top from 1 to 14 and the ten columns were designated A through J from left to right on the east face of the assembly. The foils normally used for pile surveys were 1.0 inch by 1.5 in. by 0.003 in. thick and weighed approximately 0.6 mg each. These were mounted on aluminum backing and were inserted in the pile by means of an





aluminum strip foil holder. It was thus possible to obtain surveys at  $x = -3$  in.,  $z = 30$  in. and at any value of  $y$  between zero and  $-30$  in.

#### D. Unit Cell

Block D-6 was cut vertically at a point 20 in. in from the east face of the assembly to provide a test section at which unit cell flux measurements could be made. This particular position was selected to keep harmonic effects to a minimum. The blocks above block D-6 were supported by a lever arrangement so that one third of block D-6 could easily be moved in and out of the pile. Grooves  $\frac{1}{2}$  in. deep and 0.015 in. wide were cut into the saved-off face of the graphite block spaced  $\frac{3}{4}$  in. apart. The unit cell together with the foil positions is shown in Figure 3. On the P and R radials there were seven foil positions within the fuel assembly numbered from 1 to 7 as shown for the P radial in Figure 3. Since there was no air space between the process tube and the graphite on the A radial there were only four foil positions, numbered 11 through 14, within the fuel assembly on this radial. The foil positions in the graphite were numbered consecutively proceeding out the respective radial as shown in Figure 3. Foil positions along the A and R radials extended to the unit cell boundary while those





NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS  
IN INCHES




Figure 3. Unit cell



along the Q radial extended to the fuel assembly in the adjacent cell. The coordinates of each foil position are listed in Table 1. A process tube was cut at a point 20 in. in from the east face to permit placing foils inside the coolant annulus of the fuel assembly. On runs made with

Table 1. Unit cell foil positions and position correction factors

Position	x in.	z in.	Radial distance in.	$f_x$	$f_z$
0.0	-12.00	30.00	0	1.000	1.000
P1	-12.00	30.54	0.54	1.000	1.034
P2	-12.00	30.60	0.60	1.000	1.043
P3	-12.00	30.65	0.65	1.000	1.052
P4	-12.00	30.69	0.69	1.000	1.052
P5	-12.00	30.75	0.75	1.000	1.052
P6	-12.00	30.81	0.81	1.000	1.061
P7	-12.00	30.87	0.87	1.000	1.066
P8	-12.00	31.50	1.50	1.000	1.110
P9	-12.00	32.25	2.25	1.000	1.174
P10	-12.00	33.00	3.00	1.000	1.234
P11	-12.00	33.75	3.75	1.000	1.300
P12	-12.00	34.50	4.50	1.000	1.360
P13	-12.00	35.25	5.25	1.000	1.440
Q1	-11.62	30.38	0.54	0.985	1.026
Q2	-11.58	30.42	0.60	0.985	1.030
Q3	-11.54	30.46	0.65	0.985	1.030
Q4	-11.51	30.49	0.69	0.982	1.034
Q5	-11.25	30.75	1.06	0.974	1.057
Q6	-10.50	31.50	2.12	0.952	1.110
Q7	- 9.75	32.25	3.18	0.931	1.174
Q8	- 9.00	33.00	4.24	0.913	1.234
Q9	- 8.25	33.75	5.30	0.898	1.300
Q10	- 7.50	34.50	6.36	0.882	1.360



Table 1. (Continued)

Position	x in.	z in.	Radial distance in.	$f_x$	$f_z$
Q11	- 6.75	35.25	7.42	0.870	1.440
R1	-11.46	30.0	0.54	0.980	1.000
R2	-11.40	30.0	0.60	0.979	1.000
R3	-11.35	30.0	0.65	0.975	1.000
R4	-11.31	30.0	0.69	0.975	1.000
R5	-11.25	30.0	0.75	0.972	1.000
R6	-11.19	30.0	0.81	0.971	1.000
R7	-11.13	30.0	0.87	0.968	1.000
R8	-10.50	30.0	1.50	0.952	1.000
R9	- 9.75	30.0	2.25	0.931	1.000
R10	- 9.00	30.0	3.00	0.913	1.000
R11	- 8.25	30.0	3.75	0.898	1.000
R12	- 7.50	30.0	4.50	0.882	1.000
R13	- 6.75	30.00	5.25	0.870	1.000

coolant the process tube was sealed with waterproof electrician's tape.

Three sizes of indium foil were used for flux measurements in the unit cell as follows:

Table 2. Indium foils used in unit cell

Foil	Size (in.)	Average wt. (mg)
Small	$\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{8}$	0.096
Medium	$\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{3}{4}$	0.130
Large	$\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{7}{8}$	0.152



The weight of each foil was determined to the nearest tenth of a milligram. The foils were mounted on scotch tape backing and were held in place in positions around the fuel element by means of electrician's tape or adhesive tape. Radial positions 2, 5, 6 and 7 were obtained by bending the tape into an inverted "U" with the foil placed at the desired position.

### C. Counting Equipment

A Nuclear-Chicago model 181 A scaler and model D34 mica end window counter were used to count irradiated indium foil activities. The counter was placed inside a 2-in. thick lead shield which resulted in an average background count of 20 counts per minute. An automatic timer which could be set for any desired counting time was used in conjunction with the scaler. The indium foil counting geometry was held constant by means of trays on which the foil positions had been marked.





## VI. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A. Determination of  $\gamma$ 

In order to correct foil readings obtained at various points in the subcritical assembly, it was necessary to determine  $\gamma$ , the inverse relaxation length for the thermal neutron flux in the assembly. Vertical flux surveys were made at  $x = -3$  in. and  $y = -10$  in. from  $z = 18$  in. to  $z = 54$  in. Points for  $z$  less than 18 in. and greater than 54 in. were not used due to the proximity of the source in the first instance and the change in lattice size in the latter. The indium foils weighing an average of 0.5953 gm were used for these surveys, and they were irradiated for a minimum of eight hours which gave an induced activity of 99.6 per cent of the saturation activity. Observed activities were corrected back to time of removal from the assembly, and this saturation activity was then divided by the particular foil weight to give the normalized saturation activity,  $A_{\infty}$ , in counts per minute per gram of indium. Surveys were made with and without water in the coolant annuli. Counting times were adjusted to keep the relative standard deviation of the observed counting rate less than 4 per cent.

The normalized saturation activities were plotted on



semi-logarithmic paper and a straight line was faired through the points. The slope of this line yielded a trial value for  $\gamma_{11}$ , which was now used to compute the harmonic and end correction terms,  $C_e$  and  $C_h$ . These correction terms were then divided into the normalized saturation activities to give  $A_{11}$ , the activities which would be obtained if the 1,1 harmonic of the flux distribution were the only one present. To further refine the value of  $\gamma_{11}$  it was necessary to use an iterative process whereby new correction terms would be computed and applied to the original normalized saturation activities to obtain new corrected values of  $A_{11}$ . The method of least squares was applied to obtain a new value for  $\gamma_{11}$ . For the purposes of this investigation sufficient accuracy in the value of  $\gamma_{11}$  was obtained by going through the iterative procedure only once. Harmonic effects beyond the third harmonic were found to be negligible and were ignored in calculating the harmonic correction terms. Similarly the end correction term,  $C_e$ , was found to have negligible effect beyond the first harmonic, so that  $C_e$  was assumed to be simply  $1 - e^{-2\gamma_{11}(c-z)}$  where  $c$  was taken equal to 79 in., the height of the assembly.

The values of  $\gamma_{mn}$  for the higher harmonics were calculated from the relation (2)

$$\gamma_{mn}^2 = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^2 (m^2 + n^2 - 2) + \gamma_{11}^2 \quad \text{Eq. 33}$$



Table 3. Inverse relaxation length and buckling

		Without coolant	With coolant
$\gamma_{11}$	(in <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0705	0.0713
$\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{31}$	(in <sup>-1</sup> )	0.1598	0.1600
$\gamma_{33}$	(in <sup>-1</sup> )	0.215	0.215
$B^2$	(in <sup>-2</sup> )	$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.6 \times 10^{-4}$
$B^2$	(cm <sup>-2</sup> )	$28.8 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.6 \times 10^{-6}$

where  $a$  is the length of the side of the square-based assembly including the extrapolation distance. The value of  $a$  was measured to be 62 in. The values of  $\gamma_{mn}$  for the various harmonics with and without coolant are listed in Table 3 together with the values for the buckling. The material buckling was evaluated from the equation for a square-based assembly,

$$B_m^2 = 2 \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 - \gamma_{11}^2 \quad \text{Eq. 34}$$

The values of harmonic and end correction terms,  $C_0$  and  $C_h$ , the normalized saturation activity,  $A_\infty$ , and the corrected activity due to the first mode only,  $A_{11}$ , are listed in Table 4. The corrected activities,  $A_{11}$ , are plotted in Figures 4 and 5.





Table 4. Vertical flux survey at  $x = -3$  in.,  $y = -10$  in.

Position	z (in.)	$C_e$	$C_h$	$C_e C_h$	$A_\infty$ (c/m)	$A_{11}$ (c/m)
Without coolant						
E3	18	0.9997	1.0847	1.0847	2750	2540
E4	24	0.9994	1.0491	1.0491	1943	1854
E5	30	0.9989	1.0285	1.0280	1088	1051
E6	36	0.9974	1.0168	1.013	842	831
E7	42	0.9941	1.0097	1.002	535	534
E8	48	0.9810	1.0057	0.987	339	343
E9	54	0.9680	1.0033	0.972	181	188
With coolant						
E3	18	0.9998	1.0869	1.0869	2860	2635
E4	24	0.9996	1.0505	1.0505	1862	1772
E5	30	0.9989	1.0296	1.029	1153	1121
E6	36	0.9976	1.0171	1.013	780	770
E7	42	0.9945	1.0100	1.004	503	501
E8	48	0.9870	1.0058	0.994	308	310
E9	54	0.9700	1.0034	0.974	193	198

## B. Correction Factors for Unit Cell Foil Positions

Harmonic and end correction factors,  $f_h$  and  $f_e$ , were calculated for each foil position in the unit cell. It should be noted that the correction factor is equal to the reciprocal of the correction term

$$f_e = \frac{1}{C_e}, \quad f_h = \frac{1}{C_h} \quad \text{Eq. 35}$$

Harmonic and end correction factors for each foil position in





# THEORY OF THE EARTH AND ITS HISTORY

The theory of the earth and its history is a branch of geology which deals with the origin and development of the earth and its various parts. It is a science which seeks to explain the processes which have shaped the earth and its features, and to determine the time and sequence of these processes. The theory of the earth and its history is based on the study of the earth's rocks and fossils, and on the principles of geology. It is a science which is constantly developing, and which is of great importance to the study of the earth and its history.

Figure 4. Vertical flux survey without coolant

The vertical pile survey was made at  $x = -3$  in.,  $y = -10$  in. with 1 in. by  $1\frac{1}{8}$  in. foils. The vertical unit cell survey was made at  $x = -10$  in.,  $y = -10$  in. with  $\frac{1}{8}$  in. by  $\frac{3}{4}$  in. foils at spacing 2. Unit cell survey data was normalized to pile survey data.









Figure 5. Vertical flux survey with water coolant

The vertical pile survey was made at  $x = -3$  in.,  $y = -10$  in. with 1 in. by  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in. foils. The vertical unit cell survey was made at  $x = -10$  in.,  $y = -10$  in. with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in. by  $\frac{3}{4}$  in. foils at spacing 2. Unit cell survey data was normalized to pile survey data.







the unit cell are listed in Table 5.

In order to compare the flux distribution in the unit cell with the theoretical flux distribution it was necessary to convert the activities at the various foil positions to a common reference point. The point chosen was the center of the unit cell examined which corresponded to the center of the uranium slug at  $x = -12$  in.,  $z = 30$  in. It was therefore necessary to make corrections to all activities for the cosine distribution in the  $x$  direction and for the exponential decrease in the  $z$  direction. These position corrections were called  $f_x$  and  $f_z$  respectively, and they were evaluated from the equations

$$f_x = \frac{\left[ \cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \right]_{x=-12}}{\left[ \cos \frac{\pi x}{a} \right]_{x=x}} = \frac{\cos \left( \frac{12\pi}{62} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{\pi x}{62} \right)} \quad \text{Eq. 36}$$

$$f_z = e^{-\gamma(30-z)} \quad \text{Eq. 37}$$

The above factors were multiplied together to give one overall correction factor,  $F'$ , for each position in the unit cell as follows

$$F' = f_x f_z f_e f_n \quad \text{Eq. 38}$$

Values of the position correction factors are listed in Table 1, and values of  $F'$  are listed in Table 5.



Table 5. Unit cell end and harmonic correction factors

Position	$f_0$	with coolant		without coolant	
		$f_h$	$F'$	$f_h$	$F'$
0.0	1.001	1.008	1.010	1.009	1.010
P1	1.002	1.008	1.046	1.009	1.042
P2	1.002	1.008	1.046	1.008	1.052
P3	1.002	1.008	1.055	1.008	1.061
P4	1.002	1.008	1.060	1.008	1.061
P5	1.002	1.008	1.060	1.008	1.061
P6	1.002	1.008	1.073	1.007	1.068
P7	1.002	1.008	1.073	1.007	1.072
P8	1.002	1.008	1.123	1.006	1.116
P9	1.002	1.007	1.190	1.006	1.122
P10	1.002	1.007	1.251	1.006	1.240
P11	1.002	1.007	1.320	1.005	1.307
P12	1.002	1.005	1.381	1.005	1.368
P13	1.002	1.005	1.468	1.004	1.448
Q1	1.002	1.005	1.019	1.007	1.017
Q2	1.002	1.005	1.019	1.006	1.020
Q3	1.002	1.005	1.019	1.004	1.021
Q4	1.002	1.004	1.022	1.004	1.021
Q5	1.002	1.002	1.031	1.003	1.032
Q6	1.002	0.999	1.062	0.999	1.057
Q7	1.002	0.995	1.100	0.995	1.090
Q8	1.002	0.994	1.128	0.992	1.107
Q9	1.002	0.992	1.170	0.990	1.157
Q10	1.002	0.990	1.200	0.989	1.188
Q11	1.002	0.988	1.270	0.989	1.241
R1	1.001	1.008	0.988	1.005	0.985
R2	1.001	1.008	0.987	1.004	0.984
R3	1.001	1.007	0.982	1.004	0.980
R4	1.001	1.006	0.981	1.004	0.980
R5	1.001	1.004	0.978	1.003	0.976
R6	1.001	1.003	0.975	1.003	0.975
R7	1.001	1.003	0.972	1.002	0.970
R8	1.001	1.000	0.952	1.000	0.952
R9	1.001	0.997	0.929	0.995	0.926
R10	1.001	0.994	0.907	0.992	0.905



Table 5. (Continued)

Position	$f_e$	with coolant		without coolant	
		$f_h$	$F'$	$f_h$	$F'$
R11	1.001	0.989	0.888	0.988	0.887
R12	1.001	0.986	0.870	0.985	0.869
R13	1.001	0.984	0.855	0.982	0.854

### C. Description of Runs in Unit Cell

In investigating the flux in the unit cell runs were made along the P, Q and R radials emanating from the center of the fuel assembly as shown in Figure 3. Runs 1 through 16 were made with no water in the coolant annulus and will hereafter be called "dry" runs. Runs 17 through 32 were made with water in the coolant annulus and will hereafter be called "wet" runs. The medium sized foils were used on all the dry runs, whereas on the wet runs the foil size was varied to study the effect of this parameter on the induced activities. The foil spacing was varied on the dry runs but was held constant on the wet runs.

"Spacing 1" is defined as that spacing along a radial when all the foil positions in the graphite were filled for a run. "Spacing 2" corresponded to a foil being placed in every other foil position, and "spacing 3" corresponded to a foil being placed in every third foil position along a given





radial. The above spacing refers only to those foils placed in the graphite block. Foils were placed in positions in the fuel assembly two at a time while the foils in the graphite were being irradiated, and this was called "normal spacing" for foils in the fuel assembly. Thus, the data for runs 5, 11 and 16 were actually taken during runs 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13 and 14. The fuel assembly foil readings were grouped into individual runs simply for ease of reference. At least one run along each radial was made with all or almost all of the foil positions on that radial filled both in the fuel assembly and in the graphite. These were runs 4, 15, 19, 25 and 30, and the foil spacing in the fuel assembly on these runs was designated as "close-packed". On these runs medium sized indium foils were used.

Foils were normally placed along the  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  radials in the horizontal position, and along the  $R$  radial in the vertical position as indicated in Figure 3. On runs 6, 8 and 10 medium foils were placed with spacing 1 along the  $\Delta$  radial in a horizontal, a vertical and an L-shaped position respectively. The L-shaped position was obtained by bending the foil into a  $90^\circ$  angle and inserting it into the block so that it pointed outward along the radial.

On runs 27 and 32 along the  $\Delta$  and  $R$  radials respectively the indium foils were wrapped in 0.010-in. cadmium sheet and irradiated. On these runs only one cadmium wrapped foil was





placed in the block at a time in order to avoid too large a depression in the thermal neutron flux due to the presence of the cadmium.

On all but the initial runs the counting times used were either two or three minutes. It was found that there was excessive scatter in the experimental data using the two minute counts, and therefore three minute counts were adopted for all the later runs. With the three minute counts the maximum relative standard deviation in the counting rate was 5 per cent with the average being 3 to 4 per cent. Exclusive of those runs made with close-packed spacing, the foil loading for each irradiation averaged four foils along the Q radial and eight foils along the P and R radials. Runs were made along the P and R radials simultaneously. For any one irradiation all the foils were counted through once, and then a second count was taken. The average of the two saturation activities thus obtained was used as a measure of the flux. If the foil activities were high enough, a third and even fourth count was made and the average of all saturation activities was used. All runs made in the unit cell are listed in Table 6.



Table 6. List of runs in unit cell

Run no.	Coolant	Radial	Foil size	Foil spacing <sup>a</sup>	Foil orientation
1	None	P	medium	1	horizontal
2	None	P	medium	2	horizontal
3	None	P	medium	3	horizontal
4	None	P	medium	1 CP	horizontal
5	None	P	medium	normal	radial
6	None	Q	medium	1	horizontal
7	None	Q	medium	3	horizontal
8	None	Q	medium	1	vertical
10	None	Q	medium	1	L-shaped
11	None	Q	medium	normal	radial
12	None	R	medium	1	vertical
13	None	R	medium	2	vertical
14	None	R	medium	3	vertical
15	None	R	medium	1 CP	vertical
16	None	R	medium	1	radial
17	Water	P	large	2	horizontal
18	Water	P	medium	2	horizontal
19	Water	P	medium	1 CP	horizontal
20	Water	P	large/medium	normal	radial
21	Water	P	small	normal	radial
22	Water	Q	large	3	horizontal
23	Water	Q	medium	3	horizontal
24	Water	Q	small	3	horizontal
25	Water	Q	medium	1 CP	horizontal
26	Water	Q	large/medium	normal	radial
27	Water	Q	medium		horizontal
28	Water	R	large	2	vertical
29	Water	R	medium	2	vertical
30	Water	R	medium	1 CP	vertical
31	Water	R	large/medium	normal	radial
32	Water	R	medium	2	vertical

<sup>a</sup>CP = close packed



Table 7. Horizontal flux survey at  $y = -10$  in.,  $z = 30$  in.

Position	x (in.)	Normalized activity (c/m)	
		Without coolant	With coolant
A5	-27	205	244
B5	-21	400	512
C5	-15	835	847
D5	- 9	1088	1062
E5	- 3	1080	1153
F5	3	1248	1255
G5	9	1092	1165
H5	15	790	809
I5	21	601	567
J5	27	241	268

#### D. Horizontal Surveys

Horizontal pile surveys were made in the x direction at  $y = -10$  in. and  $z = 30$  in. both with and without water in the coolant annulus to determine whether or not the transverse flux distribution in the subcritical assembly was symmetrical. The normalized activities from these surveys are listed in Table 7 and are plotted in Figure 29.



## VII. RESULTS

The raw data for all runs was reduced to normalized saturation activities,  $A_{\infty}$ , and the corrected activities referred to the center of the uranium slug,  $A_0$ . The radial distances along the P, Q and R radials were designated p, q and r respectively. Various combinations of experimental data are plotted in Figures 6 through 29. In fairing curves through the experimental points it was assumed that there were no radical changes of curvature of the flux distribution within the graphite block.

## A. Effect of Foil Spacing

Figure 6 indicates that induced activities for foil spacings 1 and 2 along the P radial were approximately the same and that they were about 5 per cent lower than the induced activities of those foils irradiated at spacing 3. This depression increased to approximately 10 per cent for those foils located closest to the fuel assembly. Along the Q radial the change in foil spacing had an effect on the induced activities as is shown in Figure 7. Along the R radial there was approximately a 5 per cent decrease in induced activities of foils irradiated at spacing 1 compared to those







Figure 6. Effect of foil spacing along P radial

Runs made without coolant, using medium foils oriented horizontally

Run no.	Spacing
---------	---------

1	1
2	2
3	3









Figure 7. Effect of foil spacing along Q radial

Runs made without coolant, using medium foils oriented horizontally

Run no.	Spacing
6	1
7	3







irradiated at spacing 2 as indicated in Figure 8.

On run 4 medium foils were close packed on the radial in the fuel assembly and were placed at spacing 1 in the graphite. Figure 9 compares the activities obtained on this run with those obtained on runs 1 and 5 where the foil spacing 1 was used in the graphite and normal spacing was used in the fuel assembly. There was apparently a 10 to 15 per cent depression of foil activity in the fuel assembly and a 10 to 20 per cent increase in foil activity in the graphite. The same type of runs was made and compared on the radial in Figure 10. There was a 15 to 20 per cent depression of activities in the fuel assembly with the foils close packed, but in the graphite the activities were about the same. The above runs were all dry runs. Figures 11, 12 and 13 show the results of similar runs that were made with water in the coolant annulus. Approximately a 10 per cent depression in the induced activities was again noted when the foils were close packed in the fuel assembly, but there was very little change in the activities of those foils placed in the graphite. There did not appear to be a great deal of distortion of the flux pattern by placing the foils close packed in the fuel assembly.



Ishtar P. Smith, 1911 to 1912, 1913 to 1914

Ishtar P. Smith, 1911 to 1912, 1913 to 1914

Ishtar P.

Ishtar P.

Ishtar P.

Ishtar P.

Ishtar P.

Figure 8. Effect of foil spacing along R radial

Runs made without coolant, using medium foils oriented vertically

Run no.	Spacing
12	1
13	2











Figure 9. Effect of foil spacing along P radial

Run no.	Region	Spacing	Orientation
4	graphite	1	vertical
4	fuel assembly	close packed	radial
1	graphite	1	vertical
5	fuel assembly	normal	radial





1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

Figure 10. Effect of foil spacing along R radial

Runs made without coolant, using medium foils			
Run no.	Region	Spacing	Orientation
15	graphite	1	vertical
15	fuel assembly	close packed	radial
12	graphite	1	vertical
16	fuel assembly	normal	radial










Figure 11. Effect of foil spacing along P radial

Runs made with water coolant, using medium foils (large  
foils were used in positions P1, P2 and P3 on run 20)

Run no.	Region	Spacing	Foil orientation
19	graphite	1	horizontal
19	fuel assembly	close packed	radial
18	graphite	2	horizontal
20	fuel assembly	normal	radial








Figure 12. Effect of foil spacing along Q radial

Runs were made with water coolant, using medium foils (large foils were used in positions Q1 and Q2 on run 26)

Run no.	Region	Spacing	Fuel orientation
25	graphite	1	horizontal
25	fuel assembly	close packed	radial
23	graphite	3	horizontal
26	fuel assembly	normal	radial











Figure 13. Effect of foil spacing along R radial

Runs were made with water coolant, using medium foils (large foils were used in positions R4, R5 and %6 on run 31)

Run no.	Region	Spacing	Orientation
30	graphite	1	vertical
30	fuel assembly	close packed	radial
29	graphite	2	vertical
31	fuel assembly	normal	radial





#### B. Effect of Foil Orientation

Runs 6, 8 and 10 were dry runs made along the 4 radial with medium foils placed in the graphite in a horizontal position, vertical position and an L-shaped position respectively. The horizontal and vertical placement gave very nearly the same distribution along the radial as shown in Figure 14. The L-shaped foil orientation appeared to result in activities that were depressed approximately 10 per cent from those obtained from the horizontal and vertical positions. The activities from the L-shaped foils also had a larger amount of scatter than those activities obtained from foils mounted horizontally or vertically.

#### C. Effect of Foil Size

Large, medium and small foils were used on runs 22, 23 and 24 in the graphite on the 2 radial with spacing 3. Figure 15 shows that the small foils resulted in the highest specific activity, with the medium foil specific activities being depressed approximately 10 per cent from these and the large foil activities being depressed 15 to 20 per cent from the small foil activities. This trend was not observed on the 2 radial where on runs 17 and 18 using large and medium foils respectively, with spacing 2, almost identical flux



TO  
 3  
 6  
 10  
 14  
 18  
 22  
 26  
 30  
 34  
 38  
 42  
 46  
 50  
 54  
 58  
 62  
 66  
 70  
 74  
 78  
 82  
 86  
 90  
 94  
 98  
 102  
 106  
 110  
 114  
 118  
 122  
 126  
 130  
 134  
 138  
 142  
 146  
 150  
 154  
 158  
 162  
 166  
 170  
 174  
 178  
 182  
 186  
 190  
 194  
 198  
 202  
 206  
 210  
 214  
 218  
 222  
 226  
 230  
 234  
 238  
 242  
 246  
 250  
 254  
 258  
 262  
 266  
 270  
 274  
 278  
 282  
 286  
 290  
 294  
 298  
 302  
 306  
 310  
 314  
 318  
 322  
 326  
 330  
 334  
 338  
 342  
 346  
 350  
 354  
 358  
 362  
 366  
 370  
 374  
 378  
 382  
 386  
 390  
 394  
 398  
 402  
 406  
 410  
 414  
 418  
 422  
 426  
 430  
 434  
 438  
 442  
 446  
 450  
 454  
 458  
 462  
 466  
 470  
 474  
 478  
 482  
 486  
 490  
 494  
 498  
 502  
 506  
 510  
 514  
 518  
 522  
 526  
 530  
 534  
 538  
 542  
 546  
 550  
 554  
 558  
 562  
 566  
 570  
 574  
 578  
 582  
 586  
 590  
 594  
 598  
 602  
 606  
 610  
 614  
 618  
 622  
 626  
 630  
 634  
 638  
 642  
 646  
 650  
 654  
 658  
 662  
 666  
 670  
 674  
 678  
 682  
 686  
 690  
 694  
 698  
 702  
 706  
 710  
 714  
 718  
 722  
 726  
 730  
 734  
 738  
 742  
 746  
 750  
 754  
 758  
 762  
 766  
 770  
 774  
 778  
 782  
 786  
 790  
 794  
 798  
 802  
 806  
 810  
 814  
 818  
 822  
 826  
 830  
 834  
 838  
 842  
 846  
 850  
 854  
 858  
 862  
 866  
 870  
 874  
 878  
 882  
 886  
 890  
 894  
 898  
 902  
 906  
 910  
 914  
 918  
 922  
 926  
 930  
 934  
 938  
 942  
 946  
 950  
 954  
 958  
 962  
 966  
 970  
 974  
 978  
 982  
 986  
 990  
 994  
 998  
 1002  
 1006  
 1010  
 1014  
 1018  
 1022  
 1026  
 1030  
 1034  
 1038  
 1042  
 1046  
 1050  
 1054  
 1058  
 1062  
 1066  
 1070  
 1074  
 1078  
 1082  
 1086  
 1090  
 1094  
 1098  
 1102  
 1106  
 1110  
 1114  
 1118  
 1122  
 1126  
 1130  
 1134  
 1138  
 1142  
 1146  
 1150  
 1154  
 1158  
 1162  
 1166  
 1170  
 1174  
 1178  
 1182  
 1186  
 1190  
 1194  
 1198  
 1202  
 1206  
 1210  
 1214  
 1218  
 1222  
 1226  
 1230  
 1234  
 1238  
 1242  
 1246  
 1250  
 1254  
 1258  
 1262  
 1266  
 1270  
 1274  
 1278  
 1282  
 1286  
 1290  
 1294  
 1298  
 1302  
 1306  
 1310  
 1314  
 1318  
 1322  
 1326  
 1330  
 1334  
 1338  
 1342  
 1346  
 1350  
 1354  
 1358  
 1362  
 1366  
 1370  
 1374  
 1378  
 1382  
 1386  
 1390  
 1394  
 1398  
 1402  
 1406  
 1410  
 1414  
 1418  
 1422  
 1426  
 1430  
 1434  
 1438  
 1442  
 1446  
 1450  
 1454  
 1458  
 1462  
 1466  
 1470  
 1474  
 1478  
 1482  
 1486  
 1490  
 1494  
 1498  
 1502  
 1506  
 1510  
 1514  
 1518  
 1522  
 1526  
 1530  
 1534  
 1538  
 1542  
 1546  
 1550  
 1554  
 1558  
 1562  
 1566  
 1570  
 1574  
 1578  
 1582  
 1586  
 1590  
 1594  
 1598  
 1602  
 1606  
 1610  
 1614  
 1618  
 1622  
 1626  
 1630  
 1634  
 1638  
 1642  
 1646  
 1650  
 1654  
 1658  
 1662  
 1666  
 1670  
 1674  
 1678  
 1682  
 1686  
 1690  
 1694  
 1698  
 1702  
 1706  
 1710  
 1714  
 1718  
 1722  
 1726  
 1730  
 1734  
 1738  
 1742  
 1746  
 1750  
 1754  
 1758  
 1762  
 1766  
 1770  
 1774  
 1778  
 1782  
 1786  
 1790  
 1794  
 1798  
 1802  
 1806  
 1810  
 1814  
 1818  
 1822  
 1826  
 1830  
 1834  
 1838  
 1842  
 1846  
 1850  
 1854  
 1858  
 1862  
 1866  
 1870  
 1874  
 1878  
 1882  
 1886  
 1890  
 1894  
 1898  
 1902  
 1906  
 1910  
 1914  
 1918  
 1922  
 1926  
 1930  
 1934  
 1938  
 1942  
 1946  
 1950  
 1954  
 1958  
 1962  
 1966  
 1970  
 1974  
 1978  
 1982  
 1986  
 1990  
 1994  
 1998  
 2002  
 2006  
 2010  
 2014  
 2018  
 2022  
 2026  
 2030  
 2034  
 2038  
 2042  
 2046  
 2050  
 2054  
 2058  
 2062  
 2066  
 2070  
 2074  
 2078  
 2082  
 2086  
 2090  
 2094  
 2098  
 2102  
 2106  
 2110  
 2114  
 2118  
 2122  
 2126  
 2130  
 2134  
 2138  
 2142  
 2146  
 2150  
 2154  
 2158  
 2162  
 2166  
 2170  
 2174  
 2178  
 2182  
 2186  
 2190  
 2194  
 2198  
 2202  
 2206  
 2210  
 2214  
 2218  
 2222  
 2226  
 2230  
 2234  
 2238  
 2242  
 2246  
 2250  
 2254  
 2258  
 2262  
 2266  
 2270  
 2274  
 2278  
 2282  
 2286  
 2290  
 2294  
 2298  
 2302  
 2306  
 2310  
 2314  
 2318  
 2322  
 2326  
 2330  
 2334  
 2338  
 2342  
 2346  
 2350  
 2354  
 2358  
 2362  
 2366  
 2370  
 2374  
 2378  
 2382  
 2386  
 2390  
 2394  
 2398  
 2402  
 2406  
 2410  
 2414  
 2418  
 2422  
 2426  
 2430  
 2434  
 2438  
 2442  
 2446  
 2450  
 2454  
 2458  
 2462  
 2466  
 2470  
 2474  
 2478  
 2482  
 2486  
 2490  
 2494  
 2498  
 2502  
 2506  
 2510  
 2514  
 2518  
 2522  
 2526  
 2530  
 2534  
 2538  
 2542  
 2546  
 2550  
 2554  
 2558  
 2562  
 2566  
 2570  
 2574  
 2578  
 2582  
 2586  
 2590  
 2594  
 2598  
 2602  
 2606  
 2610  
 2614  
 2618  
 2622  
 2626  
 2630  
 2634  
 2638  
 2642  
 2646  
 2650  
 2654  
 2658  
 2662  
 2666  
 2670  
 2674  
 2678  
 2682  
 2686  
 2690  
 2694  
 2698  
 2702  
 2706  
 2710  
 2714  
 2718  
 2722  
 2726  
 2730  
 2734  
 2738  
 2742  
 2746  
 2750  
 2754  
 2758  
 2762  
 2766  
 2770  
 2774  
 2778  
 2782  
 2786  
 2790  
 2794  
 2798  
 2802  
 2806  
 2810  
 2814  
 2818  
 2822  
 2826  
 2830  
 2834  
 2838  
 2842  
 2846  
 2850  
 2854  
 2858  
 2862  
 2866  
 2870  
 2874  
 2878  
 2882  
 2886  
 2890  
 2894  
 2898  
 2902  
 2906  
 2910  
 2914  
 2918  
 2922  
 2926  
 2930  
 2934  
 2938  
 2942  
 2946  
 2950  
 2954  
 2958  
 2962  
 2966  
 2970  
 2974  
 2978  
 2982  
 2986  
 2990  
 2994  
 2998  
 3002  
 3006  
 3010  
 3014  
 3018  
 3022  
 3026  
 3030  
 3034  
 3038  
 3042  
 3046  
 3050  
 3054  
 3058  
 3062  
 3066  
 3070  
 3074  
 3078  
 3082  
 3086  
 3090  
 3094  
 3098  
 3102  
 3106  
 3110  
 3114  
 3118  
 3122  
 3126  
 3130  
 3134  
 3138  
 3142  
 3146  
 3150  
 3154  
 3158  
 3162  
 3166  
 3170  
 3174  
 3178  
 3182  
 3186  
 3190  
 3194  
 3198  
 3202  
 3206  
 3210  
 3214  
 3218  
 3222  
 3226  
 3230  
 3234  
 3238  
 3242  
 3246  
 3250  
 3254  
 3258  
 3262  
 3266  
 3270  
 3274  
 3278  
 3282  
 3286  
 3290  
 3294  
 3298  
 3302  
 3306  
 3310  
 3314  
 3318  
 3322  
 3326  
 3330  
 3334  
 3338  
 3342  
 3346  
 3350  
 3354  
 3358  
 3362  
 3366  
 3370  
 3374  
 3378  
 3382  
 3386  
 3390  
 3394  
 3398  
 3402  
 3406  
 3410  
 3414  
 3418  
 3422  
 3426  
 3430  
 3434  
 3438  
 3442  
 3446  
 3450  
 3454  
 3458  
 3462  
 3466  
 3470  
 3474  
 3478  
 3482  
 3486  
 3490  
 3494  
 3498  
 3502  
 3506  
 3510  
 3514  
 3518  
 3522  
 3526  
 3530  
 3534  
 3538  
 3542  
 3546  
 3550  
 3554  
 3558  
 3562  
 3566  
 3570  
 3574  
 3578  
 3582  
 3586  
 3590  
 3594  
 3598  
 3602  
 3606  
 3610  
 3614  
 3618  
 3622  
 3626  
 3630  
 3634  
 3638  
 3642  
 3646  
 3650  
 3654  
 3658  
 3662  
 3666  
 3670  
 3674  
 3678  
 3682  
 3686  
 3690  
 3694  
 3698  
 3702  
 3706  
 3710  
 3714  
 3718  
 3722  
 3726  
 3730  
 3734  
 3738  
 3742  
 3746  
 3750  
 3754  
 3758  
 3762  
 3766  
 3770  
 3774  
 3778  
 3782  
 3786  
 3790  
 3794  
 3798  
 3802  
 3806  
 3810  
 3814  
 3818  
 3822  
 3826  
 3830  
 3834  
 3838  
 3842  
 3846  
 3850  
 3854  
 3858  
 3862  
 3866  
 3870  
 3874  
 3878  
 3882  
 3886  
 3890  
 3894  
 3898  
 3902  
 3906  
 3910  
 3914  
 3918  
 3922  
 3926  
 3930  
 3934  
 3938  
 3942  
 3946  
 3950  
 3954  
 3958  
 3962  
 3966  
 3970  
 3974  
 3978  
 3982  
 3986  
 3990  
 3994  
 3998  
 4002  
 4006  
 4010  
 4014  
 4018  
 4022  
 4026  
 4030  
 4034  
 4038  
 4042  
 4046  
 4050  
 4054  
 4058  
 4062  
 4066  
 4070  
 4074  
 4078  
 4082  
 4086  
 4090  
 4094  
 4098  
 4102  
 4106  
 4110  
 4114  
 4118  
 4122  
 4126  
 4130  
 4134  
 4138  
 4142  
 4146  
 4150  
 4154  
 4158  
 4162  
 4166  
 4170  
 4174  
 4178  
 4182  
 4186  
 4190  
 4194  
 4198  
 4202  
 4206  
 4210  
 4214  
 4218  
 4222  
 4226  
 4230  
 4234  
 4238  
 4242  
 4246  
 4250  
 4254  
 4258  
 4262  
 4266  
 4270  
 4274  
 4278  
 4282  
 4286  
 4290  
 4294  
 4298  
 4302  
 4306  
 4310  
 4314  
 4318  
 4322  
 4326  
 4330  
 4334  
 4338  
 4342  
 4346  
 4350  
 4354  
 4358  
 4362  
 4366  
 4370  
 4374  
 4378  
 4382  
 4386  
 4390  
 4394  
 4398  
 4402  
 4406  
 4410  
 4414  
 4418  
 4422  
 4426  
 4430  
 4434  
 4438  
 4442  
 4446  
 4450  
 4454  
 4458  
 4462  
 4466  
 4470  
 4474  
 4478  
 4482  
 4486  
 4490  
 4494  
 4498  
 4502  
 4506  
 4510  
 4514  
 4518  
 4522  
 4526  
 4530  
 4534  
 4538  
 4542  
 4546  
 4550  
 4554  
 4558  
 4562  
 4566  
 4570  
 4574  
 4578  
 4582  
 4586  
 4590  
 4594  
 4598  
 4602  
 4606  
 4610  
 4614  
 4618  
 4622  
 4626  
 4630  
 4634  
 4638  
 4642  
 4646  
 4650  
 4654  
 4658  
 4662  
 4666  
 4670  
 4674  
 4678  
 4682  
 4686  
 4690  
 4694  
 4698  
 4702  
 4706  
 4710  
 4714  
 4718  
 4722  
 4726  
 4730  
 4734  
 4738  
 4742  
 4746  
 4750  
 4754  
 4758  
 4762  
 4766  
 4770  
 4774  
 4778  
 4782  
 4786  
 4790  
 4794  
 4798  
 4802  
 4806  
 4810  
 4814  
 4818  
 4822  
 4826  
 4830  
 4834  
 4838  
 4842  
 4846  
 4850  
 4854  
 4858  
 4862  
 4866  
 4870  
 4874  
 4878  
 4882  
 4886  
 4890  
 4894  
 4898  
 4902  
 4906  
 4910  
 4914  
 4918  
 4922  
 4926  
 4930  
 4934  
 4938  
 4942  
 4946  
 4950  
 4954  
 4958  
 4962  
 4966  
 4970  
 4974  
 4978  
 4982  
 4986  
 4990  
 4994  
 4998  
 5002  
 5006  
 5010  
 5014  
 5018  
 5022  
 5026  
 5030  
 5034  
 5038  
 5042  
 5046  
 5050  
 5054  
 5058  
 5062  
 5066  
 5070  
 5074  
 5078  
 5082  
 5086  
 5090  
 5094  
 5098  
 5102  
 5106  
 5110  
 5114  
 5118  
 5122  
 5126  
 5130  
 5134  
 5138  
 5142  
 5146  
 5150  
 5154  
 5158  
 5162  
 5166  
 5170  
 5174  
 5178  
 5182  
 5186  
 5190  
 5194  
 5198  
 5202  
 5206  
 5210  
 5214  
 5218  
 5222  
 5226  
 5230  
 5234  
 5238  
 5242  
 5246  
 5250  
 5254  
 5258  
 5262  
 5266  
 5270  
 5274  
 5278  
 5282  
 5286  
 5290  
 5294  
 5298  
 5302  
 5306  
 5310  
 5314  
 5318  
 5322  
 5326  
 5330  
 5334  
 5338  
 5342  
 5346  
 5350  
 5354  
 5358  
 5362  
 5366  
 5370  
 5374  
 5378  
 5382  
 5386  
 5390  
 5394  
 5398  
 5402  
 5406  
 5410  
 5414  
 5418  
 5422  
 5426  
 5430  
 5434  
 5438  
 5442  
 5446  
 5450  
 5454  
 5458  
 5462  
 5466  
 5470  
 5474  
 5478  
 5482  
 5486  
 5490  
 5494  
 5498  
 5502  
 5506  
 5510  
 5514  
 5518  
 5522  
 5526  
 5530  
 5534  
 5538  
 5542  
 5546  
 5550  
 5554  
 5558  
 5562  
 5566  
 5570  
 5574  
 5578  
 5582  
 5586  
 5590  
 5594  
 559

Figure 14. Effect of foil orientation

Runs made along Q radial, without coolant, using medium foils  
at spacing 1

Run no.	Orientation
6	horizontal
8	vertical
10	L-shaped








Figure 12. Effect of soil water on the growth of the plant.


Effect of soil water on the growth of the plant.

Effect of soil water on the growth of the plant.

Figure 15. Effect of foil size along Q radial

Runs made with water coolant at foil spacing 3 with foils oriented horizontally

Run no.	Foil size
22	large
23	medium
24	small





distribution curves were obtained along the radial as shown in Figure 16. Figure 17 is a plot of runs 28 and 29 which were the same as runs 17 and 18 except that they were taken along the H radial. In this instance the large foil activities were found to be 12 per cent less than the medium foil activities. For run 21 small foils were placed in the fuel assembly one at a time along the P radial. The activities obtained in this manner were not appreciably different from those obtained with medium foils placed two at a time in the fuel element assembly, as can be seen by comparing run 21 with run 20 in Figure 16.

#### D. Variation of Flux Along Different Radials

In an isolated unit cell with cylindrical geometry the lines of constant flux in the moderator would be concentric circles. In a square unit cell in a reactor the lines of constant flux in the moderator in the vicinity of the fuel assembly are closely approximated by concentric circles if the overall flux in the reactor were uniform from cell to cell. (3, p. 79) As the unit cell boundary is approached the lines of constant flux are gradually distorted from circles into squares. At the cell boundary the lines of constant flux would be squares. Since the unit cell activities,  $A_0$ , have all been corrected for the cosine







Figure 16. Effect of foil size along P radial

Runs made with water coolant, foils oriented horizontally with normal foil spacing in the fuel assembly and spacing 2 in the graphite

Run no.	Foil size
17	large
18	medium
20	medium
21	small









Figure 17. Effect of foil size along R radial

Runs were made with water coolant, foils oriented vertically  
at spacing 2

Run no.	Foil size
28	large
29	medium





distribution in the  $x$  direction and the exponential drop in the  $z$  direction, these activities correspond to those which would be obtained if the flux in the overall assembly were uniform. Therefore lines of constant flux (or corrected activity) should be very nearly concentric circles near the fuel assembly. Since the lines of constant flux are compressed along the  $Q$  radial as they change from circular to square shape, it should be expected that at a given radial distance the flux along the  $P$  and  $R$  radials would be equal but less than the flux along the  $Q$  radial.

In Figures 18 and 19 the corrected foil activities along the  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $R$  radials are plotted and compared for the wet and dry runs respectively. The activities along the different radials are seen to match up very closely for the wet runs and fairly well for the dry runs. Activities along the  $Q$  radial appeared to be slightly higher than on the  $P$  and  $R$  radials. This was probably due to the reason mentioned above and to the fact that spacing 3 was used on the  $Q$  radial while spacing 2 was used on the  $P$  and  $R$  radials. The activities of the foils in the fuel assembly all fell within relatively narrow limits as can be seen in Figures 18 and 19. The single curve faired through these points in the fuel assembly corresponds to the average at a given radial distance of the activities measured along the three radials. Figures 20 and 21 are the same as 18 and 19 except that on these runs the







Figure 18. Variation of flux with radial direction without coolant

Runs made using medium foils			Spacing	Orientation
Run no.	Radial	Region		
2	P	graphite	2	horizontal
5	P	fuel assembly	normal	radial
7	Q	graphite	3	horizontal
11	Q	fuel assembly	normal	radial
13	R	graphite	2	vertical
16	R	fuel assembly	normal	radial








Figure 19. Variation of flux with radial direction with water coolant

Runs made using medium foils				
Run no.	Radial	Region	Spacing	Orientation
18	P	graphite	2	horizontal
20	P	fuel assembly	normal	radial
23	Q	graphite	3	horizontal
26	Q	fuel assembly	normal	radial
29	R	graphite	2	vertical
31	R	fuel assembly	normal	radial










Figure 20. Variation of flux with radial direction without coolant

Runs made using medium foils at spacing 1 in the graphite  
and close packed in the fuel assembly

Run no.	Radial	Foil orientation
4	P	horizontal
15	R	vertical










Figure 21. Variation of flux with radial direction with water coolant with foils close packed in the fuel assembly and at spacing 1 in the graphite

Run no.	Radial	Foil orientation
19	P	horizontal
25	Q	horizontal
30	R	vertical







foils in the fuel assembly were close packed. These runs simply corroborated the results shown in Figures 18 and 19. The average activities in the fuel assembly are seen to agree very closely in general shape, but the magnitudes of the close packed foil activities are depressed 10 to 15 per cent below the activities of those foils that were irradiated only two at a time. See also Figure 27 which compares the averages of the above runs.

#### E. Effect of Coolant

Identical runs were made along each radial with and without coolant and these are plotted in Figures 22, 23 and 24. On the wet runs there appeared to be about a 5 per cent depression in the flux in the region adjacent to the fuel assembly along all three radials. This depression continued to the unit cell boundary on the Q radial, but there was no apparent depression at the unit cell boundary on the P and R radials.

Within the fuel assembly it could in general be said that the flux was depressed on the wet runs. On the P and Q radials this depression amounted to about 10 per cent whereas on the R radial the depression was very slight. There was a characteristic flux pattern evident in the fuel assembly from the data for the individual runs which was more apparent





Figure 22. Effect of coolant along P radial

Runs were made using medium foils (large foils were used in positions P1, P2 and P3 on run 20) at normal spacing in fuel assembly and spacing 2 in the graphite

Run no.	Coolant	Region	Foil orientation
2	None	graphite	horizontal
5	None	fuel assembly	radial
18	Water	graphite	horizontal
20	Water	fuel assembly	radial










Figure 23. Effect of coolant along Q radial

Runs were made using medium foils (large foils were used in positions Q1 and Q2 on run 26)				
Run no.	Coolant	Region	Foil orientation	Spacing
7	None	graphite	horizontal	normal
11	None	fuel assembly	radial	3
23	Water	graphite	horizontal	normal
26	Water	fuel assembly	radial	3









Figure 24. Effect of coolant along R radial

Runs made using medium foils (large foils were used in positions R4, R5 and R6 on run 31)				
Run no.	Coolant	Region	Foil orientation	Spacing
12	None	graphite	vertical	2
16	None	fuel assembly	radial	normal
29	Water	graphite	vertical	2
31	Water	fuel assembly	radial	normal





when the average of the readings taken on the three radials was plotted as shown in Figure 25. There is seen a pattern that is fairly consistent with that predicted theoretically. That is, for the wet runs there is an increase in the thermal neutron flux across the water annulus, whereas for the dry runs the flux across the air annulus remains about constant. On the P and R radials it was noted that on the dry runs there was a rather sharp increase in flux (about 10 per cent) in the air hole beyond the process tube, whereas on the wet runs there was little if any increase in thermal neutron flux across this air space.

Figures 26 and 27 show results of runs which were made with and without coolant with foils in the fuel assembly close packed and foils in the graphite at spacing 1. There was considerably less scatter in the experimental data of Figures 26 and 27 than there was in Figures 22 and 24. This was probably due in part to the fact that for the close packed runs with spacing 1 in the graphite all of the foils were irradiated simultaneously in the same flux field. For spacing 2 and 3 there were two or three irradiations required to complete a survey along a radial. Since the foils were located differently for each irradiation, the flux field was shaped differently for each irradiation.








Figure 25. Average flux in fuel assembly





Figure 26. Effect of coolant along P radial with foils close packed in fuel assembly and at spacing 1 in the graphite

Run no.	Coolant
4	None
19	Water











Figure 27. Effect of coolant along R radial with foils close packed in fuel assembly and at spacing 1 in the graphite

Run no.	Coolant
15	None
30	Water





### F. Cadmium Ratio

Cadmium ratios were determined along the  $\phi$  and  $R$  radials with coolant and are plotted in Figure 28. Along both radials the cadmium ratio increased with distance from the uranium. The increase along the  $\phi$  radial was about 10 per cent at the unit cell boundary and along the  $R$  radial the ratio increased about 17 per cent at the cell boundary over what it was in the fuel assembly.

### G. Comparison of Flux in Unit Cell With Overall Flux in the Assembly

Table 7 lists the results of the horizontal pile surveys taken at  $y = -10$  in. and  $z = 30$  in. with and without coolant. These surveys were taken using the large (1 in. by  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in.) aluminum backed indium foils. Plots of the horizontal pile surveys with and without coolant appear in Figure 29. Unit cell surveys along the  $R$  radial obtained with medium foils at spacing 2 are also plotted on Figure 29 to show the relationship of the unit cell flux distribution to the overall assembly flux distribution. The activities per gram obtained with the smaller unit cell foils were approximately 1.77 times larger than those obtained using the large (1 in. by  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in.) pile survey foils. The unit cell activities were divided by this factor of 1.77, and this reduced unit cell foil activity






Figure 28. Cadmium ratios along Q and R radials (with coolant)






Figure 29. Horizontal flux surveys at  $y = -10$  in. and  $z = 30$  in.





was plotted on Figure 29.

Normalized saturation activities in the unit cell along the P radial using medium foils at spacing 2 with and without coolant were plotted on Figures 4 and 5 respectively to compare the vertical flux distribution in the unit cell with that in the pile. Since the overall vertical pile survey was taken at  $x = -3$  in. and the vertical unit cell survey was taken at  $x = -10$  in., there were no common points in the two surveys by which one set of data could be converted to the other. It was assumed that the ratio of activities would be the same as it was for the horizontal surveys. Thus the unit cell activities plotted in Figures 4 and 5 are the actual saturation activities reduced by the factor of 1.77 and corrected for the cosine distribution in the pile. The corrected vertical flux survey in the unit cell matched the vertical pile survey in the region remote from the fuel assembly.



## VIII. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

### A. Techniques for Measuring Flux Distribution in a Unit Cell

In making any physical measurement great care must be taken not to influence the quantity measured by the technique of measuring. This is especially true in the case of neutron flux measurement by the foil activation method. It is impossible to avoid altering the flux field when using the activation method, but it is possible to keep these alterations as small as possible. Space must be made available for placing the indium foils, and the foils must be large enough so that their induced activities after irradiation in the neutron flux are detectable and meaningful.

In order to obtain reproducible results it is of primary importance to obtain sufficiently good counting statistics. Due to the smallness of the indium foils, the low neutron flux, and the 54 minute half life of indium, the allowed counting time is limited. Use of gold foil with its longer half life would help solve this problem, but would require longer irradiation times. If several foils were to be counted it was found best to use short counts and count through all the foils two or more times and average these



rather than take single long counts. Although averaging the saturation activities obtained from several short counts did not appreciably improve the counting statistics it did help a great deal in reducing the scatter of the experimental data. This was probably due to the fact that averaging tended to reduce the variations in counting geometry from one foil to another. The counting geometry of the foils must of course be kept constant as well as the geometry of the foil while it is being irradiated. This was probably the main reason why the L-shaped foils gave such erratic results on run 10. In bending the foils into the  $90^\circ$  angle some were undoubtedly bent slightly different than others and thus had different irradiation geometry. Furthermore when these bent foils were counted it was difficult to flatten them out under the counter and this introduced variations in counting geometry. By irradiating the foils flat, either horizontally or vertically, the above difficulties were eliminated.

Foil size, spacing, loading and counting time should be determined by the type of results desired and the time available to collect the data. If quantitative results on the unit cell are desired, the smallest foils possible should be used, and they should be irradiated in the unit cell one at a time. The minimum size of the foil would depend on the flux level. For the flux encountered in these experiments it was found that the minimum usable size of 0.003-in. indium





foil was  $\frac{1}{8}$  in. by  $\frac{1}{8}$  in. If only qualitative results are desired, for example, if it is desired to determine only the pattern of the flux distribution, the foils placed in the unit cell may be larger both in size and in number. Even placing the foils close packed in the fuel assembly and placing the foils at spacing 1 in the graphite did not appear to greatly distort the flux distribution in the unit cell. Although the general flux level was depressed by close packing the foils in the fuel assembly, the general shape of the flux distribution was not greatly altered as is shown in Figure 25.

Using the activation method is a time consuming process when using small foils in a low neutron flux due to the fact that the foils must be irradiated about 6 hours between each run. It is therefore most advantageous to read as many foils as possible per irradiation. With the size foils used it was found that the maximum number of foils it was practical to read at one time was eight. By using two or more counters simultaneously it would be possible to reduce the time required for counting per irradiation and also the number of irradiations per run.

#### B. The Flux Distribution in a Unit Cell

The matching of the flux distribution curves along the





P, Q and R radials as was seen in Figures 18 and 19 would seem to verify the validity of the  $f_x$  and  $f_z$  correction factors which were questioned by Clayton (2, p. 33). At least they seem to be valid within the limits of accuracy of this investigation.

The general effect of water in the coolant annulus of the fuel assembly was to depress the flux in the fuel assembly and in the surrounding graphite. With the exception of the flux pattern shown in Figure 23 it appeared that there was very little if any depression of flux in the region near the unit cell boundary. Hence, horizontal and vertical flux surveys made across the assembly with the survey foils located near the unit cell boundaries would not detect any variation in the flux distribution due to the addition of coolant. The survey foil positions in the subcritical assembly used in this investigation were located half way between the centers and the boundaries of the unit cells so that the depression of flux due to the coolant was hardly detectable. Although not very pronounced the moderating effect of the water was apparent from the experimental data plotted in Figures 23 through 26. With improved statistics and refined counting procedures it should be possible to measure quite accurately the effect of coolant on the flux distribution in the fuel assembly.

The high foil activities obtained in the unit cell as



compared with those activities obtained when making horizontal flux surveys across the entire assembly were probably due mainly to the counting geometry. The geometry factor for the unit cell foils approached 50 per cent since these foils were completely covered by the window of the counting tube which was  $1 \frac{1}{8}$  in. in diameter. However the large foils used in making the overall pile surveys extended out beyond the counter window. This would cause the activity per gram for the small foils to be higher than for the large foils.

The unit cell foil activities which are plotted on Figure 29 indicate that the flux distribution across the assembly has large deviations from the cosine distribution due to the depressions in the vicinity of the fuel assemblies. This points up again the importance of foil placement when making flux surveys. Three different horizontal flux distributions would be obtained across the assembly depending on whether the foils were placed in the empty holes, in the survey slots or in the holes loaded with fuel elements. These are shown as curves A, B and C respectively in Figure 30. The actual flux distribution would be shaped like curve D with depressions at the fuel elements and peaks at the cell boundaries. The depressions in the region of the fuel assemblies are probably not as pronounced as the experimental data indicates because the presence of the indium was partly responsible for the lowered flux.






Figure 30. Hypothetical horizontal surveys for various foil placements





Unit cell foil activities along the P radial were plotted on Figures 4 and 5 simply to show that the vertical flux distribution also deviates considerably from the theoretical exponential drop. It is apparent that when irradiating foils for the purpose of determining the buckling, it is important that the foils be irradiated at the same relative position in each unit cell. The validity of the position correction factor,  $f_x$ , would again appear to be verified from the fact that when it was applied to the unit cell survey data, the corrected data matched the pile survey data very closely in the region away from the fuel assembly.

The shapes of the cadmium ratio curves in Figure 28 show that the fast neutron flux in the region near the fuel assembly is higher than in the region near the unit cell boundary. The cadmium ratios obtained along the Q radial indicate that the fast neutron flux is symmetrical with respect to the center of the fuel slug. Since a low cadmium ratio indicates a relatively higher fast neutron flux, it is apparent that the fast flux becomes maximum in the fuel assembly, drops off as the cell boundary is approached, and increases again as the slug in the adjacent unit cell is approached. The higher cadmium ratio at the cell boundary along the R radial as compared to that along the Q radial was due to the fact that the cell center-to-boundary distance was greater along the R radial, and hence there were fewer fast





neutrons remaining at the cell boundary in the  $\lambda$  direction than there were in the  $\mu$  direction.

### C. Comparison of Experimental Results with Theory

The theoretical flux distribution in the unit cell of a simple two-region fuel-moderator system is shown as curve A in Figures 31 and 32. Curves B and C are the theoretical flux distributions in a multiregion system with and without coolant respectively. Since the experimental results indicated there was very little depression of flux in the region near the unit cell boundary due to the water coolant, the theoretical curves were normalized to the cell boundary flux.

Results of flux surveys along the P and R radials for both wet and dry runs are plotted on Figures 31 and 32, where the experimental data was normalized to the average cell boundary flux. Two-region theory is seen to agree quite well with the experimental data. However a curve through the experimental points would have less slope than that predicted by Murray. Multiregion theory both with and without water coolant appears to give high values for the flux in the graphite but the shape of the curve appears to agree closely with the experimental data. In multiregion theory the variation of flux across the cladding, coolant and process tubes was assumed to be linear, and the flux across air gaps was






Figure 31. Comparison of experimental data with theory






Figure 32. Comparison of experimental data with theory



assumed to be constant. These assumptions appear to be valid except for the water coolant. The theoretical decrease in flux across the water annulus was about twice as large as that observed. This discrepancy was probably due to the fact that the moderating effect of the water was ignored in calculating the theoretical curves. Although the theory of Humsey and Volkoff provides for the moderating effect of the water in determining the thermal utilization of the lattice, it does not provide for the inclusion of this effect in calculating the flux distribution.





## IX. CONCLUSIONS

The activation method is quite adaptable to the measurement of neutron flux in the unit cell. By reducing the statistical deviation of the foil activities it should be possible to determine accurately the flux distribution. For more exact calculations it would also be necessary to correct foil activities for the fast neutron flux which is present and measured along with the thermal flux.

The flux distribution predicted by two-region theory is in very close agreement with the observed distribution. Murray's assumption that any poisons that are tolerable do not appreciably disturb the basic fuel-moderator flux distribution appears to be reasonably valid, although these poisons do flatten out the flux distribution in the graphite. Multi-region theory appears to predict a flux level in the moderator which is generally higher than was observed. It also gave a larger flux depression across the water coolant, because the moderating effect of the water was ignored.



## X. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

Further investigation of the flux distribution in the unit cell of the subcritical assembly could be carried out by varying certain other parameters, such as coolant, lattice size and slug size. One could also examine other unit cells either adjacent to the one examined herein or in another region of the assembly. On any further work the flux surveys might be continued into the fuel element itself.

The apparent rise in the flux in the air gap beyond the process tube along the F and H radials presents an interesting phenomenon which could be further investigated. The flux pattern in this region is apparently dependent upon the flux pattern in the coolant annulus, however the statistical deviation of the experimental data of this investigation prohibits making any definite conclusion along these lines.

Another subject for investigation could be the theoretical development of the flux distribution in the unit cell. As was previously pointed out, the theory of Ramsey and Volkoff was primarily aimed at a more refined prediction of the thermal utilization rather than an exact solution of the point-to-point flux distribution. By extending diffusion theory to the multiregion system it would be possible to



obtain the theoretical flux distribution in each region.

Such treatment would be particularly adaptable to predicting the flux distribution across a moderating region, such as the water filled coolant annulus.



## XI. LITERATURE CITED

1. Akademiia nauk, SSSR. Matematicheskii institut imeni V. A. Steklova. Tablitsy znachenii funktsii Besselia ot mnimogo argumenta. Moskva, Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk SSSR, 1950.
2. Clayton, E. D. Exponential pile measurements in graphite-uranium lattices. U.S. Atomic energy Commission. Report AKCD-3677 (Atomic Energy Commission Declassified) Washington, D. C., Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce. June 1, 1954.
3. Cohen, E. R. The role of exponential experiments in reactor design. Nuclear engineering--Part II. American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Chemical Engineering Progress Symposium Series 50, no. 12: 72-81. 1954.
4. Feld, Bernard T. The application and experimental basis of pile theory. In Goodman, Clark, ed. Introduction to pile theory. 2nd ed. pp. 187-230. Cambridge, Mass., Addison-Wesley Press, Inc. 1952.
5. Gast, Paul F. Normal uranium, graphite moderated reactors: a comparison of theory and experiment--water cooled lattices. International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy Proc. 5: 288-294. 1956.
6. Glasstone, Samuel and Edlund, Milton C. The elements of nuclear reactor theory. Princeton, N. J., D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc. 1952.
7. Guggenheim, E. A. and Pryce, M. H. L. Uranium-graphite lattices. Nucleonics 11, no. 2: 50-60. February 1953.
8. Hoganson, John Henry. Operating characteristics of a uranium graphite subcritical assembly with coolant simulation. Unpublished M. S. Thesis. Ames, Iowa, Iowa State College Library. 1957.





9. Hummel, Virginia and Hammermesh, Bernard. Flux depression in the neighborhood of a foil. In Wattenburg, A. and McCorkle, W. H., eds. Experimental Nuclear Physics Division and Theoretical Nuclear Physics Division Report for January, February, and March 1950. p. 62. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Report ANL-4437 (Argonne National Laboratory) Washington, D. C., Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce. April 5, 1950.
10. Murray, Raymond L. Nuclear reactor physics. Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1957.
11. Richey, C. R. Thermal utilization and lattice diffusion length in graphite-uranium lattices from exponential pile measurements. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Report AEC-D-3675 (Atomic Energy Commission De-classified) Washington, D. C., Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce. April 1, 1954.
12. Rumsey, V. H. and Volkoff, G. M. Diffusion theory expressions for the thermal utilization factor in cells with slab, cylindrical and spherical geometry. Atomic Energy of Canada Limited. MT-221 (National Research Council of Canada. Montreal Laboratory.) May 30, 1946. (Original not available for examination; cited and partially reproduced in Clayton, J. D. and Richey, C. R. Correlation of exponential pile lattice measurements with theory. pp. 17-22. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Report HW-25038. (Hanford Atomic Products Operation, Richland, Washington) Washington, D. C., Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce. February 8, 1955.)



## XII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Dr. Robert L. Uhrig for the initial suggestion of this thesis problem and for his generous assistance throughout the course of the work. Special thanks are also due to Dr. Glenn Murphy for his encouragement and assistance during my stay at Iowa State College.

This thesis culminates three years of postgraduate instruction in Aeronautical Engineering (Nuclear Propulsion), and I wish to express my deep appreciation to the United States Navy and in particular to the United States Naval Postgraduate School for the opportunity of receiving this advanced education.



XIII. APPENDIX



Table 8. Dimensions and material constants for the unit cell

<u>Dimensions</u>		
$r_u$ , uranium rod radius	1.270	cm
$t_{al}$ , thickness of aluminum cladding	0.102	cm
$t_w$ , effective thickness of water annulus	0.273	cm
$t_p$ , effective thickness of process tube	0.102	cm
$t_{air}$ , effective thickness of air annulus	0.455	cm
$r_1$ , equivalent inner radius of graphite	2.20	cm
$r_2$ , equivalent outer radius of graphite	11.94	cm
<u>Volume per slug</u>		
$V_u$ , uranium	103.0	cm <sup>3</sup>
$V_{al}$ , slug can and cap	23.0	cm <sup>3</sup>
$V_w$ , water	55.7	cm <sup>3</sup>
$V_p$ , process tube	22.6	cm <sup>3</sup>
$V_g$ , graphite	9250	cm <sup>3</sup>
<u>Absorption cross sections</u>		
$\Sigma_{al}$ , aluminum	0.01323	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\Sigma_u$ , uranium	0.324	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\Sigma_g$ , graphite	0.00036	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\Sigma_w$ , water	0.017	cm <sup>-1</sup>
<u>Inverse diffusion lengths</u>		
$\kappa_u$ , uranium	0.675	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\kappa_g$ , graphite	0.01992	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\kappa_w$ , water	0.3472	cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\kappa_{al}$ , aluminum	0.0495	cm <sup>-1</sup>

















thesH404

Flux distribution in a unit call of a ur



3 2768 002 08643 1

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY